

Department of Environmental Quality
Office of Compliance and Enforcement
700 NE Multnomah Street, Suite 600
Portland, OR 97232-4100
(503) 229-5696
FAX (503) 229-5100
TTY 711

March 14, 2024

CERTIFIED MAIL: 7017 1450 0000 8310 3084

Portland State University c/o Cindy J. Starke, General Counsel 1825 SW Broadway Portland, OR 97201

Re:

Notice of Civil Penalty Assessment and Order

Case No. LQ/UST-NWR-2023-147

This letter is to inform you that DEQ has issued a total civil penalty of \$25,472 for underground storage tank (UST) violations at Portland State University's two UST systems that serve its emergency generators. The UST systems are located at the Smith Memorial Center and 1900 SW 4<sup>th</sup> Avenue. DEQ documented several violations during its inspection on October 17, 2023. DEQ has cited you for failing to have adequate release detection equipment at both facilities, failing to test the spill prevention and overfill prevention equipment at both facilities, failing to conduct line tightness testing on piping at the Smith facility, and failing to conduct annual operation and maintenance walkthrough inspections at both facilities.

DEQ issued this penalty because having proper release detection equipment installed and performing testing of piping and spill prevention and overfill prevention equipment are important to ensure that any releases are discovered quickly before contamination spreads beyond the immediate area of the USTs and allows immediate response to any sign of a release. If a leak goes unnoticed due to unmaintained release detection equipment, the leaking fuel can have lasting harmful effects on the environment or human health.

Included in Section IV of the Notice is an order requiring you to submit complete modification applications and install tank release detection equipment at both facilities within thirty (30) days, then submit required documentation within forty-five (45) days of completing the installation; complete testing of the spill prevention and overfill prevention equipment at both facilities within thirty (30) days, and conduct line tightness testing at the Smith facility within thirty (30) days.

\$16,772 of the civil penalty represents the economic benefit you gained by failing to install tank release detection equipment and conduct line tightness and spill and overfill prevention testing. If you complete these requirements, DEQ will consider recalculating the costs as delayed rather than avoided and will reduce the civil penalty accordingly.

Portland State University Case No. LQ/UST-NWR-2023-147 Page 2

If you wish to appeal this matter, DEQ must receive a request for a hearing within 20 calendar days from your receipt of this letter. The hearing request must be in writing. Send your request to DEQ Office of Compliance and Enforcement:

Via mail - 700 NE Multnomah Street, Suite 600, Portland, Oregon 97232

Via email – DEQappeals@deq.oregon.gov

Via fax - 503-229-5100

Once DEQ receives your request, we will arrange to meet with you to discuss this matter. If DEQ does not receive a timely written hearing request, the penalty will become due. Alternatively, you can pay the penalty by sending a check or money order to the above address.

The attached Notice further details DEO's reasons for issuing the penalty and provides further instructions for appealing the penalty. Please review and refer to it when discussing this case with DEQ.

DEQ may allow you to resolve part of your penalty through the completion of a Supplemental Environmental Project (SEP). SEPs are environmental improvement projects that you sponsor instead of paying a penalty. Further information is available by calling the number below or at http://www.oregon.gov/deq/Regulations/Pages/SEP.aspx.

DEQ's rules are available at http://www.oregon.gov/deq/Regulations/Pages/Statutes.aspx or by calling the number below.

If you have any questions, please contact Esther Westbrook at 503-229-5374 or toll free in Oregon at 800-452-4011, extension 5374.

Sincerely,

Becka Puskas, Interim Manager

Office of Compliance and Enforcement

Rebeace of Pushus

Enclosures

cc:

Timothy Wright, PSU – EHS: tdw@pdx.edu

Dylan Eckert, Eugene Office, DEQ Mark Drouin, Portland Office, DEQ

### BEFORE THE ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY COMMISSION 1 2 OF THE STATE OF OREGON 3 NOTICE OF CIVIL PENALTY IN THE MATTER OF: PORTLAND STATE UNIVERSITY, ASSESSMENT AND ORDER 4 CASE NO. LQ/UST-NWR-2023-147 Respondent. 5 6 I. AUTHORITY 7 The Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ) issues this Notice of Civil Penalty Assessment and Order (Notice) pursuant to Oregon Revised Statutes (ORS) 468.100, ORS 468.126 through 468.140, 8 9 ORS 466.706 through 466.882, ORS 466.994, ORS Chapter 183 and Oregon Administrative Rules (OAR) Chapter 340, Divisions 011, 012, and 150. 10 II. FINDINGS OF FACT 11 1. At all materials times, Respondent owned and was the permittee of two underground storage 12 tank (UST) systems at the Portland State University (PSU) Campus in Portland, Multnomah County, 13 14 Oregon. 2. The UST system for the Smith Memorial Center located at 1825 SW Broadway consists of 15 16 one UST and connected piping (Smith Facility). The UST system has a capacity of approximately 17 1,000 gallons of diesel fuel, which is a regulated substance. The tank stores diesel fuel to power an emergency generator. Respondent operates the UST system under Certificate to Operate #12020. 18 3. The UST system for the building located at 1900 SW 4th Avenue consists of one UST and 19 connected piping (4th Ave. Facility). The UST system has a capacity of approximately 20,000 gallons 20 of diesel fuel. The tank stores diesel fuel to power an emergency generator. Respondent operates the 21 22 UST system under Certificate to Operate #6252. 4. On October 17, 2023, DEQ conducted an inspection of the UST systems described in 23 Paragraphs 2 and 3 above, and reviewed Respondent's records for the systems. 24 5. On October 17, 2023, the UST system at the 4th Ave. Facility did not have release detection 25 equipment installed. 26 1111 27

- 6. On October 17, 2023, the tank monitor for UST system at the Smith Facility was not functioning. The monitor indicated that the last tank tightness test had occurred on September 30, 2017.
- 7. The UST piping at the Smith Facility is suction piping that does not meet the standards set forth in OAR 340-150-0410(6). The piping routinely contains diesel fuel.
- 8. As of October 17, 2023, Respondent had not conducted line tightness testing of the UST piping at the Smith Facility since November 2016.
- 9. As of October 17, 2023, Respondent had not tested the spill prevention equipment (spill buckets) or overfill prevention equipment at either the Smith Facility or the 4<sup>th</sup> Ave. Facility.
- 10. As of October 17, 2023, Respondent had not performed an annual operation and maintenance walkthrough inspection at either the Smith Facility or the 4<sup>th</sup> Ave. Facility, and Respondent did not have records of such inspections for the previous year.

### III. CONCLUSIONS

- 1. Respondent has violated OAR 340-150-0400(1)(a) by failing to provide a method of release detection that can detect a release from any portion of the UST and the underground piping that routinely contains a regulated substance at the Smith Facility and the 4<sup>th</sup> Ave. Facility, as alleged in Section II above. These are Class I violations according to OAR 340-012-0067(1)(e). DEQ hereby assesses a civil penalty of \$18,302 for these violations.
- 2. Respondent has violated OAR 340-150-0410(5) by failing to conduct line tightness testing on suction piping at the Smith Facility every three years, as alleged in Section II above. Since Respondent has not conducted testing since November 2016, it has missed two tests. These are Class I violations according to OAR 340-012-0067(1)(j). DEQ hereby assesses a civil penalty of \$2,687 for these violations.
- 3. Respondent has violated OAR 340-150-0310(10) by failing to initially test its spill prevention and overfill prevention equipment prior to October 1, 2020, and has violated OAR 340-150-0310(8)(b) and (9) by failing to conduct spill prevention and overfill prevention equipment within three years, or by October 1, 2023, at the Smith Facility and the 4<sup>th</sup> Ave. Facility, as alleged in Section II above. These are Class I violations according to OAR 340-012-0067(1)(j). DEQ hereby assesses a civil penalty of \$3,283 for these violations.

must receive your request for hearing within 20 calendar days from the date you receive this Notice. If

27

1	you have any affirmative defenses or wish to dispute any allegations of fact in this Notice or attached
2	exhibits, you must do so in your request for hearing, as factual matters not denied will be considered
3	admitted, and failure to raise a defense will be a waiver of the defense. (See OAR 340-011-0530 for
4	further information about requests for hearing.) You must send your request to: DEQ, Office of
5	Compliance and Enforcement, 700 NE Multnomah Street, Suite 600, Portland, Oregon 97232, fax
6	it to 503-229-6762 or email it to <u>DEQappeals@deq.oregon.gov</u> . An administrative law judge
7	employed by the Office of Administrative Hearings will conduct the hearing, according to ORS
8	Chapter 183, OAR Chapter 340, Division 011, and OAR 137-003-0501 to 0700. You have a right to be
9	represented by an attorney at the hearing, however you are not required to be. If you are an individual,
10	you may represent yourself. If you are a corporation, partnership, limited liability company,
11	unincorporated association, trust, or government body, you must be represented by an attorney or a
12	duly authorized representative, as set forth in OAR 137-003-0555.
13	Active-duty Service members have a right to stay proceedings under the federal Service
14	Members Civil Relief Act. For more information contact the Oregon State Bar at 1-800-
15	452-8260, the Oregon Military Department at 503-584-3571, or the nearest United States Armed
16	Forces Legal Assistance Office through <a href="http://legalassistance.law.af.mil">http://legalassistance.law.af.mil</a> . The Oregon Military
17	Department does not have a toll-free telephone number.

Department does not have a toll-free telephone number.

If you fail to file a timely request for hearing, the Notice will become a final order by default without further action by DEQ, as per OAR 340-011-0535(1). If you do request a hearing but later withdraw your request, fail to attend the hearing, or notify DEQ that you will not be attending the hearing, DEQ will issue a final order by default pursuant to OAR 340-011-0535(3). DEQ designates the relevant portions of its files, including information submitted by you, as the record for purposes of proving a prima facie case.

24

23

18

19

20

21

22

25

26 Date 27

Becka Puskas, Interim Manager Office of Compliance and Enforcement

# FINDINGS AND DETERMINATION OF RESPONDENT'S CIVIL PENALTY PURSUANT TO OREGON ADMINISTRATIVE RULE (OAR) 340-012-0045

<u>VIOLATION NO. 1</u> Failing to provide a method of release detection that can detect a

release from any portion of the UST and the underground piping that routinely contains a regulated substance, in violation of OAR

340-150-0400(1)(a).

CLASSIFICATION: This is a Class I violation pursuant to OAR 340-012-0067(1)(e).

MAGNITUDE: The magnitude of the violation is moderate, pursuant to OAR 340-

012-0130(1), as there is no selected magnitude specified in OAR 340-012-0135 for this violation, and the information reasonably available to DEQ does not indicate a minor or major magnitude.

CIVIL PENALTY FORMULA: The formula for determining the amount of penalty of each

violation is:  $BP + [(0.1 \times BP) \times (P + H + O + M + C)] + EB$ 

"BP" is the base penalty, which is \$1,500 for a Class I, moderate magnitude violation in the matrix listed in OAR 340-012-0140(4)(b)(A)(ii) and applicable pursuant to OAR 340-012-0140(4)(a)(I). Respondent is the permittee of two UST facilities.

- "P" is whether Respondent has any prior significant actions, as defined in OAR 340-012-0030(19), in the same media as the violation at issue that occurred at a facility owned or operated by the same Respondent, and receives a value of 0 according to OAR 340-012-0145(2)(a)(A), because there are no prior significant actions.
- "H" is Respondent's history of correcting prior significant actions and receives a value of 0 according to OAR 340-012-0145(3)(c), because there is no prior history.
- "O" is whether the violation was repeated or ongoing, and receives a value of 4 according to OAR 340-012-0145(4)(d) because there were more than 28 occurrences of the violation. Each day of violation is a separate occurrence. Respondent was required to have release detection for the USTs serving its emergency generators by October 1, 2020. The violation has not been corrected as of the date of this Notice, which is a duration of more than three years.
- "M" is the mental state of Respondent, and receives a value of 4 according to OAR 340-012-0145(5)(c) because Respondent's conduct was negligent. In March 2019, DEQ informed Respondent of the requirement to install release detection equipment for USTs serving emergency generators, however Respondent failed to install this equipment. By continuing to operate both UST systems without functional release detection, Respondent failed to take reasonable care to avoid a foreseeable risk that it would violate UST requirements.

- "C" is Respondent's efforts to correct or mitigate the violation, and receives a value of 2 according to OAR 340-012-0145(6)(g) because Respondent did not address the violation as described in paragraphs (6)(a) through (6)(e) and the facts do not support a finding under paragraph (6)(f). As of the date of this Notice, Respondent has not completed installation of release detection equipment for its USTs.
- "EB" is the approximate dollar value of the benefit gained and the costs avoided or delayed as a result of Respondent's noncompliance. It is designed to "level the playing field" by taking away any economic advantage the entity gained and to deter potential violators from deciding it is cheaper to violate and pay the penalty than to pay the costs of compliance. In this case, "EB" receives a value of \$15,302. This is the amount Respondent gained by avoiding spending \$15,000 to install release detection equipment for two UST systems. This "EB" was calculated pursuant to OAR 340-012-0150(1) using the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency's BEN computer model.

<u>PENALTY CALCULATION</u>: Penalty =  $BP + [(0.1 \times BP) \times (P + H + O + M + C)] + EB$ 

- $= \$1,500 + [(0.1 \times \$1,500) \times (0 + 0 + 4 + 4 + 2)] + \$15,302$
- $= $1,500 + ($150 \times 10) + $15,302$
- = \$1,500 + \$1,500 + \$15,302
- =\$18,302

## FINDINGS AND DETERMINATION OF RESPONDENT'S CIVIL PENALTY PURSUANT TO OREGON ADMINISTRATIVE RULE (OAR) 340-012-0045

VIOLATION NO. 2 Failing to conduct line tightness testing, in violation of OAR 340-

150-0410(5).

CLASSIFICATION: This is a Class I violation pursuant to OAR 340-012-0067(1)(j).

MAGNITUDE: The magnitude of the violation is moderate, pursuant to OAR 340-

012-0130(1), as there is no selected magnitude specified in OAR 340-012-0135 for this violation, and the information reasonably available to DEQ does not indicate a minor or major magnitude.

CIVIL PENALTY FORMULA: The formula for determining the amount of penalty of each violation is:  $BP + [(0.1 \times BP) \times (P + H + O + M + C)] + EB$ 

"BP" is the base penalty, which is \$1,500 for a Class I, moderate magnitude violation in the matrix listed in OAR 340-012-0140(4)(b)(A)(ii) and applicable pursuant to OAR 340-012-0140(4)(a)(I). Respondent is the permittee of two UST facilities.

- "P" is whether Respondent has any prior significant actions, as defined in OAR 340-012-0030(19), in the same media as the violation at issue that occurred at a facility owned or operated by the same Respondent, and receives a value of 0 according to OAR 340-012-0145(2)(a)(A), because there are no prior significant actions.
- "H" is Respondent's history of correcting prior significant actions and receives a value of 0 according to OAR 340-012-0145(3)(c), because there is no prior history.
- "O" is whether the violation was repeated or ongoing, and receives a value of 2 according to OAR 340-012-0145(4)(b) because there were more than one but less than seven occurrences of the violation. Respondent failed to conduct line tightness testing, which is required every three years, on two occasions since November 2016.
- "M" is the mental state of the Respondent, and receives a value of 4 according to OAR 340-012-0145(5)(c) because Respondent's conduct was negligent. By failing to conduct line tightness testing since 2016, Respondent failed to take reasonable care to avoid a foreseeable risk that it would violate UST requirements.
- "C" is Respondent's efforts to correct or mitigate the violation, and receives a value of 0 according to OAR 340-012-0145(6)(f) because the violation or the effects of the violation could not be corrected or minimized.

"EB" is the approximate dollar value of the benefit gained and the costs avoided or delayed as a result of the Respondent's noncompliance. It is designed to "level the playing field" by taking away any economic advantage the entity gained and to deter potential violators from deciding it is cheaper to violate and pay the penalty than to pay the costs of compliance. In this case, "EB" receives a value of \$287. This is the amount Respondent gained by avoiding spending \$155 per test to conduct line tightness testing in 2019 and 2022. This "EB" was calculated pursuant to OAR 340-012-0150(1) using the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency's BEN computer model.

<u>PENALTY CALCULATION</u>: Penalty = BP +  $[(0.1 \times BP) \times (P + H + O + M + C)] + EB$ =  $$1,500 + [(0.1 \times $1,500) \times (0 + 0 + 2 + 4 + 0)] + $287$ 

 $= $1,500 + ($150 \times 6) + $287$ 

= \$1,500 + \$900 + \$287

= \$2,687

### FINDINGS AND DETERMINATION OF RESPONDENT'S CIVIL PENALTY PURSUANT TO OREGON ADMINISTRATIVE RULE (OAR) 340-012-0045

VIOLATION NO. 3

Failing to initially test spill prevention and overfill prevention equipment by October 1, 2020, in violation of OAR 340-150-0310(10), and by failing to test the equipment within three years, or by October 1, 2023, in violation of OAR 340-150-0310(8)(b)

and (9).

CLASSIFICATION:

These are Class I violations pursuant to OAR 340-012-0067(1)(j).

MAGNITUDE:

The magnitude of the violation is moderate, pursuant to OAR 340-012-0130(1), as there is no selected magnitude specified in OAR 340-012-0135 for this violation, and the information reasonably available to DEQ does not indicate a minor or major magnitude.

CIVIL PENALTY FORMULA:

The formula for determining the amount of penalty of each violation is:  $BP + [(0.1 \times BP) \times (P + H + O + M + C)] + EB$ 

- "BP" is the base penalty, which is \$1,500 for a Class I, moderate magnitude violation in the matrix listed in OAR 340-012-0140(4)(b)(A)(ii) and applicable pursuant to OAR 340-012-0140(4)(a)(I). Respondent is the owner or permittee of two UST facilities.
- при is whether Respondent has any prior significant actions, as defined in OAR 340-012-0030(19), in the same media as the violation at issue that occurred at a facility owned or operated by the same Respondent, and receives a value of 0 according to OAR 340-012-0145(2)(a)(A), because there are no prior significant actions.
- "H" is Respondent's history of correcting prior significant actions and receives a value of 0 according to OAR 340-012-0145(3)(c), because there is no prior history.
- "O" is whether the violation was repeated or ongoing, and receives a value of 2 according to OAR 340-012-0145(4)(b) because there were more than one but less than seven occurrences of the violation. Respondent failed to conduct testing on two occasions, by October 1, 2020, and by October 1, 2023.
- is the mental state of the Respondent and receives a value of 2 according to OAR 340-012-"M" 0145(5)(b) because Respondent had constructive knowledge (reasonably should have known) of the requirement. In 2018, DEQ adopted the regulation requiring that the testing be completed prior to October 1, 2020, and provided extensive outreach to the regulated community on the requirement to conduct the testing prior to that date. Respondent reasonably should have known of the requirement to conduct the testing prior to October 1, 2020, and every three years thereafter.

- "C" is Respondent's efforts to correct or mitigate the violation, and receives a value of 0 according to OAR 340-012-0145(6)(f) because the violation or the effects of the violation could not be corrected or minimized.
- "EB" is the approximate dollar value of the benefit gained and the costs avoided or delayed as a result of Respondent's noncompliance. It is designed to "level the playing field" by taking away any economic advantage the entity gained and to deter potential violators from deciding it is cheaper to violate and pay the penalty than to pay the costs of compliance. In this case, "EB" receives a value of \$1,183. This is the amount Respondent gained by avoiding spending \$650 each year to conduct spill prevention and overfill prevention equipment testing at both facilities in 2020 and 2023. This "EB" was calculated pursuant to OAR 340-012-0150(1) using the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency's BEN computer model.

<u>PENALTY CALCULATION</u>: Penalty =  $BP + [(0.1 \times BP) \times (P + H + O + M + C)] + EB$ 

- $= 1,500 + [(0.1 \times 1,500) \times (0 + 0 + 2 + 2 + 0)] + 1,183$
- $= $1,500 + ($150 \times 4) + $1,183$
- = \$1,500 + \$600 + \$1,183
- =\$3,283

# FINDINGS AND DETERMINATION OF RESPONDENT'S CIVIL PENALTY PURSUANT TO OREGON ADMINISTRATIVE RULE (OAR) 340-012-0045

<u>VIOLATION NO. 4</u> Failing to perform an annual operation and maintenance

walkthrough inspection, in violation of OAR 340-150-

0315(1)(a)(B).

CLASSIFICATION: This is a Class II violation pursuant to OAR 340-012-0053(2).

MAGNITUDE: The magnitude of the violation is moderate, pursuant to OAR 340-

012-0130(1), as there is no selected magnitude specified in OAR 340-012-0135 for this violation, and the information reasonably available to DEQ does not indicate a minor or major magnitude.

CIVIL PENALTY FORMULA: The formula for determining the amount of penalty of each violation is:  $BP + [(0.1 \times BP) \times (P + H + O + M + C)] + EB$ 

"BP" is the base penalty, which is \$750 for a Class II, moderate magnitude violation in the matrix listed in OAR 340-012-0140(4)(b)(B)(ii) and applicable pursuant to OAR 340-012-0140(4)(a)(I). Respondent is the permittee of two UST facilities.

- "P" is whether Respondent has any prior significant actions, as defined in OAR 340-012-0030(19), in the same media as the violation at issue that occurred at a facility owned or operated by the same Respondent, and receives a value of 0 according to OAR 340-012-0145(2)(a)(A), because there are no prior significant actions.
- "H" is Respondent's history of correcting prior significant actions and receives a value of 0 according to OAR 340-012-0145(3)(c), because there is no prior history.
- "O" is whether the violation was repeated or ongoing and receives a value of 2 according to OAR 340-012-0145(4)(b) because there were more than one but less than seven occurrences of the violation. Respondent failed to conduct an annual operation and maintenance walkthrough inspection for at least the prior year at two facilities.
- "M" is the mental state of the Respondent, and receives a value of 4 according to OAR 340-012-0145(5)(c) because Respondent's conduct was negligent. By failing to conduct annual walkthrough inspections, Respondent failed to take reasonable care to avoid a foreseeable risk that it would violate UST requirements.
- "C" is Respondent's efforts to correct or mitigate the violation and receives a value of 0 according to OAR 340-012-0145(6)(f) because the violation or the effects of the violation could not be corrected or minimized.

"EB" is the approximate dollar value of the benefit gained and the costs avoided or delayed as a result of the Respondent's noncompliance. It is designed to "level the playing field" by taking away any economic advantage the entity gained and to deter potential violators from deciding it is cheaper to violate and pay the penalty than to pay the costs of compliance. In this case, "EB" receives a value of \$0 because DEQ finds that the economic benefit Respondent gained by failing to conduct walkthrough inspections was de minimis.

PENALTY CALCULATION: Penalty = BP +  $[(0.1 \times BP) \times (P + H + O + M + C)]$  + EB = \$750 +  $[(0.1 \times $750) \times (0 + 0 + 2 + 4 + 0)]$  + \$0 = \$750 + (\$75 \times 6) + \$0 = \$750 + \$450 + \$0 = \$1,200